REMARKS

1. Applicant has amended claim 1 to address the §112 rejection thereof by reciting

the ordered sequential relationship of the optical elements with respect to the optical axis.

The Examiner has indicated that he believes the rejection of the claims based on prior art

is due solely to the §112 deficiencies. Applicant believes that the amendments to claim

1 address the §112 rejections, and clearly differentiate the present invention from the prior

art.

In light of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant now asserts that all of the

grounds for rejection have been traversed or overcome by amendment, and that all of the

present claims are in condition for immediate allowance. Applicant therefore requests

reconsideration of the objections and rejections, and solicits allowance of the present

claims at an early date.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 4, 2005

Registration No: 32,737

Telephone: (408) 778-3440

THE KLINE LAW FIRM, P.C.

14910 Bonner Court

Morgan Hill, CA 95037-5925